

**Technical Group Meeting
 Note of meeting
 Sydvest
 Ekenäs, Finland
 4-5/10/2004**



PRESENT	Sean Mehan (SM, Chair), Alistair Young (AY), Antony Corfield (AC), Per-Erik Gustafsson (PG), Kim Roos (KR), Johnny Pettersson (JP), Rolf Gammals (RG)
----------------	---

ITEM	ACTION
<p>1 REPORT OF ICELAND AND BARCELONA PRAISE MEETINGS There was a report to the meeting of the PRAISE meetings in Barcelona and Akureyri. There was much interest from the Finnish partners in the developments of the meetings and the developing sophisticated understanding of the pedagogical partners concerning theoretical and practical understanding of the ODL and Elearning methods involved in the project.</p> <p>There was some discussion concerning the Concept Dictionary and the need to have the Concept Dictionary domain led rather than technology led. It was agreed that the proper method for reification of the {Concept, Relationships} was to occur from the domain experts' definitions in the iterative Concept Dictionary. SM was to take this view to the Onto meeting at the end of the month.</p>	SM
<p>2 ACCOUNTS The issue of accounts being open to creation was discussed. All agreed that this option had been on long enough and that users should have reacted by now. Furthermore, it was noted that the LDAP schema needed to be amended to allow for groups to be defined for each Virtual Circle. AY to pursue. Once these group objects existed, JP would pursue including their display in the Case Studies User Interface.</p> <p>SM to mail Laura to notify users that auto account creation closes by 15/10/2004. Need to then send an email to all users to verify account and then select which Virtual Circle group id they belong to.</p>	AY JP SM LF
<p>3 YHS VLE There was an extensive discussion of the place within YHS for elearning, especially the work in which the Information Technology department was currently involved. This impacted upon the benefit of YHS from both the CABLE VLE and related work as well a possible ownership of the Swedish language localization</p>	KR

ITEM	ACTION
<p>required for Bodington by end of Jan, 2005. KR and team were to consider the issues raised and come back with thoughts by Bethel.</p>	
<p>4. IDEA/CVS After a lunch break, the meeting moved to work on enabling Johnny's IDE to work with the project CVS server with SSH authentication. After extensive work, it was determined that there appears to be a bug in the Windows SSH implementation for Key pairs which are DSA v2, and a general bug with SSH passwd authentication. It had been proven that over the same network connection/configuration, Putty was able to allow SSH password authentication, via a proxy. UHI had several OS X boxes able to use Keys over the link. Finally, the IDEA was moved to a linux client where it was demonstrated to work properly. AY was to try to confirm the bugs back at UHI and report. The team agreed to meet the next day and assist Johnny in a code tree move from his Windows machine to the Linux machine, and import the module into the CVS.</p>	<p>AY</p> <p>ALL</p>
<p>5. The meeting closed for the day. PROPOSED DEVELOPMENTS There was general discussion concerning the state of the system. There were a number of areas which were prioritized for development efforts, with the intention to complete as much as possible for Bethel as could be achieved.</p> <p>The ordered priorities for development were:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Enable groups in LDAP. This falls from having the group names created, then having the usernames allocated to groups. This would allow a new field to be allocated to the schema which threw case studies to groups. 2. Auto-login from VLE to CSDB. AY to send JP new LDAP classes and JP to work on accepting a get parameter and check referrer. 3. Translation. It was important to allow for complex views on the original and translated objects. Translated case studies would not be owners of new case studies, but rather listed as translators, pointing to the original case studies id. If original case studies were deleted or edited, then the translators would need to be notified. In the translation screen, the translation language should be chosen first, with a search to find out if there was an existing translation for that object in that language. 4. Versioning and Annotation. Only the original owner can edit the original object. Need to create new object for new version, with ability to determine history of previous objects. Other members with Annotate permissions can add annotations, comments, etc. to object. When original is edited, all translators and annotators should be alerted (perhaps with a changes tab in VLE). Provide view of objects and annotations. <p>Additional aspects are to determine how to hold Semantic Concept ID in CS record, yet keep keyword field as well!</p>	<p>UHI</p> <p>AY/JP</p> <p>JP</p> <p>As available</p> <p>All</p>
<p>6. SM to write up a description of various search cases. POST PROJECT EXPLOITATION There was a discussion of possible post project exploitation strategies and how technical partners could pursue these. Partners to reflect upon discussions and return with comments.</p>	<p>SM</p> <p>ALL</p>